

KONINKRIJK DER



NEDERLANDEN

Bureau voor de Industriële Eigendom



RECEIVED
APR 01 2003
TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Hierbij wordt verklaard, dat in Nederland op 17 mei 1999 onder nummer 1012066,

ten name van:

NEDERLANDS KANKERINSTITUUT/ANTONI VAN LEEUWENHOEKZIEKENHUIS
te Amsterdam

een aanvraag om octrooi werd ingediend voor:

"A method of improving bioavailability of orally administered drugs, a method of screening for enhancers of such bioavailability and novel pharmaceutical compositions for oral delivery of drugs",

en dat de hieraan gehechte stukken overeenstemmen met de oorspronkelijk ingediende stukken.

Rijswijk, 10 december 2001

De Directeur van het Bureau voor de Industriële Eigendom,
voor deze,

A handwritten signature in black ink.

drs. N.A. Oudhof

A method of improving bioavailability of orally administered drugs, a method of screening for enhancers of such bioavailability and novel pharmaceutical compositions for oral delivery of drugs.

5 Summary of the invention

The subject invention is directed at a method of improving bioavailability of orally administered drugs. The invention further covers a method of screening for enhancers of bioavailability of orally administered drugs. The invention also covers application of the bioenhancer in pharmaceutical compositions for oral delivery of drugs, thereby providing 10 novel and improved pharmaceutical compositions.

Background of the invention

The bioavailability of drugs is a complex issue. For a long time efforts were focussed on the processes occurring in the liver when addressing the issue of bioavailability of drugs. All blood from the gastrointestinal tract passes the liver before going anywhere else in the body. Thus first pass effect of the liver was thought to be of great influence on bioavailability. Certainly it was thought to be of more influence than any mechanism exerted by the gut. This was thought to be the case for example due to the much lower presence of cytochrome P450 in the gut as compared to the liver. It was known for a fact that cytochrome P450 catalyzes phase I biotransformation, i.e. the process involved in removal of drugs from the body. So obviously such biotransformation event is going to occur more in the liver than the gut. In phase II, the subsequent step of the removal process, a hydrophilic group is added in order to increase solubility and thus subsequently speed up elimination through the kidneys.

25 Traditionally, efforts have thus been focussed on increasing solubility and membrane permeability when addressing the problem of bioavailability of drugs. Most particularly metabolism-associated approaches have been focussed on the liver biotransformation process. The problem with these approaches has, however, been the broad effects on liver metabolism in general and thus broad non-specific and often thus undesirable systemic effects.

30

Recently however, it has been suggested that the absorption across intestinal epithelia also affects bioavailability of drugs. The enterocyte membrane contains numerous transport proteins that carry nutrients from the lumen of the gut into the enterocytes. Active or passive transport through the membrane is responsible for the passage of many molecules through the

membrane into the cytoplasm. The nutrients, and also drugs, subsequently pass through the enterocytes into the capillary net and proceed to the circulation system and the liver.

However, the intestine can also remove compounds from the cytoplasm of enterocytes and transport these compounds back to the lumen. Presumably this is a mechanism that has evolved to protect against potentially damaging compounds that enter the body via the oral route. Following this line of reasoning US 5567592 suggests two gut related mechanisms could be inhibited in order to increase the net flux of drug from the gut. On the one hand an inhibitor of cytochrome P450 is suggested and on the other hand use of an inhibitor of P-glycoprotein (P-gp) or a combination of the two categories of inhibitors is suggested.

P-glycoprotein back transport activity in the gut of a mammal can be inhibited with a view to increasing drug bioavailability by virtue of the fact that the net transport of drugs through the enterocyte layer will be enhanced. P-glycoprotein is located i.a. in the small intestine and colon on the luminal side of epithelial cells and transports dietary toxins back into the lumen and thus helps prevent the toxins being absorbed into the portal circulation.

The document however does not illustrate or give a specific example of P-gp inhibitor and does not provide any information on success of the chosen method. The document merely shows an increase in cyclosporin bioavailability caused by co-administration of ketoconazole.
Ketoconazole is a cytochrome P450 inhibitor.

A later document (*The Lancet*, Vol. 352, July 25 1998) describes how coadministration of cyclosporin enables oral therapy with paclitaxel in mdr1a(-/-) knockout mice lacking P-gp. Normally orally introduced paclitaxel is poorly bioavailable due to the exceedingly high affinity thereof for the multidrug transporter P-glycoprotein which is abundantly present in the gastrointestinal tract. (*Trends Genet.* 1997; 13:217-22, *Cell* 1994; 77: 491-502) Studies in mdr1a (-/-) knockout mice lacking P-gp revealed an increased uptake of paclitaxel (*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1997, 94:2031-35) Subsequently it was thus described in *The Lancet* how paclitaxel was orally introduced into wild type mice together with the P-gp blocker SDZ PSC 833 or the P-gp blocker cyclosporin resulting in a tenfold increased systemic exposure to paclitaxel. Proof of concept tests were also carried out on patients and confirmed the results. Co-administration of paclitaxel with cyclosporin increased the absorption of oral paclitaxel to therapeutic plasma concentrations.

The P-gp is known from its association with multi drug resistance development of tumor cells. A number of other transport proteins have also been associated with multi drug resistance (MDR) such as the MRP and MVP. An alternative system also leading to MDR is the interference of some drugs in the ability of the cell to enter apoptosis for example cells 5 genetically deficient in p53 or cells overexpressing bclxL. Both MRP and P-gp belong to the group of proteins classified as ABC proteins. ABC proteins function by way of their being ATP binding proteins. The phenomenon of multi drug resistance consists of tumor cells exhibiting resistance to a large number of structurally unrelated antineoplastic agents. The agents include anthracyclines, vinca alkaloids, taxol and epipodophyllotoxins. ATP hydrolysis 10 on the cytoplasmic face of P-gp is required for transport of hydrophobic compounds from a tumor cell. The addition of verapamil, diltiazem, quinine, trifluoperazine or cyclosporin seems to potentially reverse P-gp associated MDR.

It should be noted that in spite of extensive knowledge of these MDR mechanisms in in vitro 15 systems in many tumors it is still unclear what mechanisms contribute most to the multi drug resistance in the clinical setting. It is quite possible other unidentified or poorly understood MDR mechanisms will turn out to be at least as important as the MDR mechanisms defined above.

20 In this respect we point to a new protein that has been found. The protein is called Breast Cancer Related Protein or BCRP. It is also known as MXR or ABCP. A number of recent publications have illustrated that this protein is also a drug resistance related protein. A number of such disclosures are provided in the Proceedings of the American Association for Cancer Research volume 40, March 1999. e.g. Rocchi et al Abstract 2090, Zhan et al Abstract 25 2091, Ross et al Abstract 2092, Rabindran et al Abstract 2093, Litman et al Abstract 4413, Schlegel et al Abstract 4415 and Rohde et al Abstract 4417..

The amino acid sequence of BCRP has been determined and the gene has been isolated and sequenced.(Doyle et al Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA 1998 Vol 95;15665-15670) It has been 30 determined to be an ABC transport protein. The P-gp protein is also an ABC protein, but differs significantly from the BCRP. This is clearly illustrated by the sequence data and also by the fact that the presence of verapamil (an inhibitor of P-gp) did not prevent drug resistance for doxorubicin in cells overexpressing BCRP. The doxorubicin resistance was subsequently attributed to the overexpression of this protein. Thus cells exhibiting

doxorubicin resistance can possess either P-gp and/or BCRP transport mechanisms. Also on the other hand P-gp overexpressing cells exhibit resistance to cisplatin, paclitaxel or vincristine. No resistance to these compounds is however present when the P-gp mechanism is inactive and the BCRP mechanism is active. Thus there are clearly two different systems of drug resistance with different proteins that show different specificities to drugs.

Notwithstanding the lack of data concerning the BCRP and the mechanism of transport it was decided to investigate whether it could be a useful target to approach with a view to increasing oral bioavailability of drugs. An analogous line of reasoning to that employed for P-gp was followed, however, without any detailed knowledge of the mechanism to be inhibited and the potential consequences thereof for the cell or more importantly the patient. Furthermore, there was no knowledge on whether the effect would be high enough to show any effect on the drug distribution. Neither was there any knowledge whether inhibition of such a transport system would result in activation of another system. Nor was there any indication whether the inhibition would be more harmful than beneficial. Due to the large differences between P-gp and BCRP and lack of knowledge concerning the transport mechanism, there was no reasonable expectation of success that the BCRP system might function analogously to that of P-gp and thus that inhibition thereof could increase oral drug delivery without potentially seriously disrupting the normal cellular processes and thus potentially being detrimental to the patient.

Description of the invention.

In vitro studies revealed that cells overexpressing BCRP are resistant to a large and varied number of compounds. Camphotecin and derivatives thereof in particular topotecan, SN38 (an active metabolite of irinotecan), GG211 (GF147211, also known as NX211), 9-aminocamphotecin, 9-nitrocamphotecin and mitoxantrone are examples thereof.

We subsequently tested a number of compounds selected therefrom that also exhibit low bioavailability to see if we could enhance their bioavailability after oral dosage. In order to assess this we combined their oral dosage in mice with BCRP inhibitors. Bioavailability is defined as the total amount of drug systemically available over time. The test compares the amount of drug systemically available when administered in the presence and in the absence of a BCRP inhibitor. Naturally all variables should be kept identical as much as possible e.g. amount of drug dosed and the form in which it is dosed. The measurement of the systemic

amount can occur in any known manner for bodily fluid e.g. blood, serum, plasma or tissue bathed by systemic fluid e.g. skin. Urine can also be used for non metabolised drug testing.

The compounds to be assayed for bioavailability were selected from a range of well-known
5 cytostatic drugs. Examples of compounds tested are topotecan, GG211, 9-aminocamphotecin,
9-nitrocamphotecin and irinotecan. These are thus examples of indolizino-quinoline (in
particular camptothecin) derivatives, quinazoline derivatives e.g. prazosin and anthraquinone
derivatives e.g. mitoxantrone. A broad spectrum of compounds with varying lipophilicity,
solubility and hydrophobicity has thus been tested. The group can be considered
10 representative for a large number of naturally occurring toxins. However not only naturally
occurring toxins are envisaged as compounds whose bioavailability after oral dosage can be
increased according to the subject invention. Basically the bioavailability after oral dosage of
any compound that is subject to BCRP transport can be improved. It is relatively easy for the
skilled person to assess whether a compound is subject to BCRP related and/or mediated
15 transport. The skilled person can assess in a model system whether uptake of a specific
compound to be tested is low when said model system consists of a cell or organism
overexpressing BCRP. Numerous tumor types like that are commonly known e.g. breast
tumors (MCF-7/AdrVp) as described in Doyle et al, colon carcinoma (S1, HT29), gastric
carcinoma's (EPG85-257), fibrosarcoma (EPF86-079) and myeloma (8226) origin as
20 described Ross et al (ibid). One can additionally test the drug in a system known to exhibit one
or more other types of MDR in order to assess whether the compound is subject to only
BCRP related transport or multiple forms of transport. In the latter case it will be preferable to
either use an inhibitor capable of blocking not only BCRP related transport but also the
relevant other system and/or dosing a number of inhibitors specific for each relevant transport
25 system. Alternatively one can also merely assess whether the compound to be tested exhibits
binding to the BCRP protein as being indicative for involvement of BCRP in the transport
thereof.

There are a number of inhibitors of BCRP known to be available. These compounds have
30 varying specificity toward BCRP and also towards other proteins such as P-gp. The compound
9,10 dihydro-5 methoxy-9-oxo-n[4-[2,(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-6,7 dimethoxy-2-isoquilonyl)ethyl]-
4-acridinecarboxamide was tested. This compound is known to also inhibit P-gp related
transport in tumor cells exhibiting P-gp overexpression in vitro. Another BCRP inhibitor is
fumitremorgin C (FTC), which is a mycotoxin. Other mycotoxins can also be used as

bioenhancer. FTC is an example of a compound that has no effect on P-gp related transport. A further example known to exhibit inhibition of BCRP is BIB-F. A compound of further interest is cyclosporin A. These compounds are available to the skilled person and are disclosed in a number of publications which are incorporated by reference. The references are

- 5 Schlegel et al Abstract 4415 Proc. Am. Ass. Cancer Res. Vol. 40; March 1999: 669 and
Rabindran et al Abstract 2093 ibid. pag 315. Clearly the following categories of compounds
can thus be considered to provide BCRP inhibitors of varying specificity: acridine derivatives,
quinoline derivatives in particular isoquinoline derivatives and combinations thereof.
Alternative inhibitors can be found quite readily by the skilled person. An alternative suitable
10 source of inhibitor could for example be provided by monoclonal antibodies raised
specifically against BCRP. Recombinant BCRP producing clones could be used as a source of
protein against which monoclonal antibodies could be raised in a manner known per se for
raising monoclonal antibodies against recombinant protein and in a manner known per se for
producing recombinant protein. As stated elsewhere the genetic information encoding BCRP
15 is available and thus the methodology for expression of the protein is clear to the skilled
person.

Monoclonal antibodies would be a very specific inhibitor of BCRP and as such form a
preferred embodiment of the group of inhibitors to be used. A less specific inhibitor could be
20 an ATP-ase. Such a compound would inhibit the ATP related activity of the protein and thus
prevent transport.

The BCRP inhibitor is in particular destined to inhibit drug back flux from the blood or
epithelial lumen. Thus the return of drugs absorbed into the cytoplasm of enterocytes back to
25 the lumen of the gut is inhibited. Due to the fact that the BCRP is not only present in the
intestine but also located elsewhere, the BCRP transport for these other locations may also be
affected. However the effect will be highest in the intestine due to the oral dosage of the
inhibitor. Preferably the bioenhancer, i.e. the inhibitor of BCRP, will bind BCRP quickly and
inhibit while the drug is passing through the enterocyte. The inhibitor can be reversible or
30 irreversible. If it is reversible, the bioenhancer will pass through the liver and be removed. In
addition the natural protective activity of the BCRP system against dietary toxins will be
returned sometime after the oral dosage has occurred. The bioenhancer can act as competitive
non-competitive mixed or irreversible inhibitor. It can be transportable or non-transportable.
It can bind to or interact with the BCRP on cytoplasmic accessible surface, any membrane-

spanning domain, and any ATP binding site. By interacting with BCRP it is possible to prevent binding of ATP.

Suitably a bioenhancer can be selected from vinca alkaloids, fatty acids, triazoles, taxol and derivatives thereof, pyrrolidones, piperazines, piperidines, pyridines, pyridones, pyrrolidines, retinoids, salicylates, sorbitans, phenothiazines, polyethylene glycols, colchicine, cephalosporines, cannabiods, cyclic peptides, flavones, flavenoids, opioids, phenylalkylamines, aminoacridines, aminoquinolines, anilides, anthracyclines, antibiotics, antiestrogens, imidazoles, (iso)quinolines, benzofurans, benzodiazepines, benzhydryl compounds, benzazepines, dibenzazepines, epipodophyllotoxins, macrolides, rauwolfia alkaloids, steroids. Preferably compounds that do not exhibit sufficient affinity for P-gp to exert increased bioavailability due to an effect on the P-gp transport system are applied. Suitably a P-gp binding bioenhancer also exhibiting specificity for BCRP sufficient to increase bioavailability of a drug not susceptible to transport via the P-gp mechanism is applied in combination with such a drug or in a cell type free of a P-gp system.

The amount to be dosed will depend on the drug it is to be combined with, the type of disease to be combated, the pharmacological acceptability of the dosage, the size gender and age of the patient etc. All factors commonly known to be of pharmacological interest and a matter of routine for the skilled person to determine on a case by case basis. Numerous assays are available to determine best dosage regimes. Suitably the maximal increase in bioavailability needs to be achieved. Thus, such a combination of drug and inhibitor is preferably selected. Once it has been ascertained a particular drug can undergo increased bioavailability, it then becomes possible to reduce the dosage required to be orally administered to achieve the desired medicinal effect. Thus the amount of drug to be administered can be reduced. It thus becomes possible to administer lower dosage forms of known drugs. It also becomes possible to orally administer drugs that previously were not orally administered due to extreme side effects or toxicity at the high levels required to achieve reasonable or effective serum concentrations. Suitably the bioavailability is increased by at least 10%. Preferably an even higher amount e.g. more than 20% even more than 30% is achieved. Naturally as high an increase as possible is the objective.

It now becomes possible to selectively enhance BCRP mediated and/or related transport. By selecting an inhibitor with more affinity for BCRP than any other drug transport related

protein present in the gastrointestinal tract or cells associated with the oral trajectory of drugs that affect bioavailability this can be achieved. This can also be achieved by selecting an inhibitor with more affinity for BCRP than a particular other drug transport related protein present in the gastrointestinal tract or cells associated with the oral trajectory of drugs that affect bioavailability. Specifically one can think of a bioenhancer that inhibits BCRP mediated or related transport better than other multi drug resistance related drug transport. In particular drug transport protein related MDR is a preferred candidate of interest in this respect. Such a bioenhancer is suitably better at inhibiting BCRP related or mediated transport than P-gp mediated or related transport. Also one can envisage the specificity being better for BCRP than for MRP and/or MVP. Suitably one or more combinations can also be envisaged. Also a bioenhancer can be envisaged inhibiting only BCRP mediated and/or related drug transport and not affecting any of the other systems.

The selected inhibitor will depend also on the selection of drug to be administered. It is known some drugs are only transported by one mechanism some by more than one and some by a multitude of systems. Obviously where more than one system is involved either numerous specific inhibitors or a generally applicable inhibitor will be preferred to achieve maximal effect.

The best way to assess the desired combination is on the basis of assays. It is difficult to predict on the basis of molecular structure what combination to use. It is also difficult to assess by molecular structure alone whether a drug or bioenhancer will be BCRP specific or have a broader spectrum of activity. However molecular designing will be able to assist in selecting suitable inhibitors.

Suitable bioassays to search for inhibitors are described in US 5567592, references cited therein and in the references cited in the subject patent description. The tests to be used will be readily apparent to the skilled person. Everted gut assays, selection of inhibitor using cell growth assays, brush border membrane assays, drug uptake assays using fluorescence ATP-ase assays. The relative ability of compounds to act as bioenhancers can be assessed using in vitro and/or in vivo drug transport measurements. Numerous systems are available that can be used as such or need some adaptation to be BCRP specific when so desired. Such adaptations however can be envisaged by the person skilled in the art. The activity of BCRP related or mediated transport can be measured as amount of ATP dependent transport in a system

comprising BCRP and optionally being free of one or more other active ATP dependent transport systems. It can also be measured as ATP dependent drug hydrolysis. The activity can be measured using electrodes or dye, either chemically sensitive or voltage sensitive. Also numerous in vivo tests can be envisaged, analogous to those carried out for the MDR system 5 assessment vis a vis resistance and sensitivity. The use of a knockout test animal in which the BCRP gene has been inactivated is envisaged. Such a knockout animal is also subject of the invention.

The tests with mice assessed the bioavailability of the drug in wild type mice in the presence 10 and the absence of a BCRP inhibitor. The bioavailability tests can also be carried out with either mdr1 and/or P-gp knock out mice thus enabling assessment of whether any effect found due to the presence of the bioinhibitor is due solely to BCRP, not due to P-gp and/or MRP. Thus it can be determined whether the particular drug transported is transported using one or 15 more transport systems. The drug to be transported can be provided with a detectable marker to assess where it is located and to be able to quantitate it. Some drugs can be detected and quantitated in plasma without use of a detectable marker. The methodology employed is analogous to that disclosed in the Lancet article discussed above and is also described in Br. J. Cancer 1997, 76: 1181-1183. The methodology is thus considered to be incorporated by reference and is considered enabled to a person skilled in the art

20 We found a sixfold increase in the systemic bioavailability of the tested drug when dosed together with an inhibitor of BCRP in mice.

In addition to the actual use of the combination of bioenhancer and pharmaceutically active 25 treatment for increasing the bioavailability of the pharmaceutical compound novel pharmaceutical compounds are also covered by the invention. The invention covers pharmaceutical compositions in an embodiment for oral administration, said composition comprising a pharmaceutically active compound and a bioenhancer said bioenhancer being an inhibitor of BCRP mediated or related transport. The pharmaceutical composition according 30 to the invention may comprise the pharmaceutically active compound in lower dosages than normally administered when the pharmaceutically active compound is administered on its own i.e. without the BCRP inhibitor. For the use in increasing bioavailability the two components do not necessarily have to be administered concomitantly but they must be present in the subject to be treated at overlapping periods of time. Preferably they are present

in the gut concomitantly. Any of the combinations disclosed above for the embodiments of the bioenhancer and the pharmaceutically active compound i.e. the drug to be delivered in a combination in a pharmaceutical composition is covered by the invention. Use of such a combination in preparation of a medicament for improved oral delivery of the

- 5 pharmaceutically active compound by means of inhibition of the BCRP mediated or related transport system is also covered. It is preferable to present a combination in order to ensure maximum presence of the bioenhancer with the pharmaceutically active compound to be delivered. It is preferred that the composition be formulated such that the bioenhancer is released in the gut together with the pharmaceutically active compound or a little before the
- 10 pharmaceutically active compound is released. The pharmaceutical composition may further comprise a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. The composition is preferably sterile. Furthermore it is preferably organoleptically acceptable. Existing pharmaceutical compositions inadvertently already disclosed that comprise the combination of a BCRP inhibitor and a pharmaceutically active component for treating or preventing a disease or
- 15 pathological condition are not intended to be covered by the pharmaceutical composition claimed according to the invention. The pharmaceutically active compound can be a cytostatic drug or any non cytostatic drug. Any known drug requiring improved oral bioavailability can be incorporated. A combination of either a BCRP specific inhibitor with one or more transport inhibitors and a pharmaceutically active compound is also covered or a BCRP
- 20 specific pharmaceutical compound i.e. not using the P-gp transport system in combination with a BCRP inhibitor also falls within the preferred embodiments.

Besides the above the invention also covers a method of screening for pharmaceutically active compounds that are transported via the BCRP transport system. The invention also covers a

25 method for screening for inhibitors of BCRP mediated or related drug transport. The methods of screening occur in an analogous manner to the process described for P-gp related inhibitor screening and P-gp mediated or related transport drugs as disclosed in the cited articles that are incorporated by reference elsewhere in the description. Such a method of screening covers use of cells overexpressing BCRP to test for binding of inhibitor and/or to test whether a

30 pharmaceutically active compound is present in a lower amount in such a cell than a corresponding cell with an inactive BCRP transport system. If this is the case then active transport using BCRP is occurring. Subsequently testing with other systems can eliminate or reveal participation of other drug transport mechanisms for the particular drug to be tested.

thus an additional means for sorting out various specificities for various drug transport mechanisms is provided.

The invention also covers animals in which the BCRP gene has been inactivated such that no BCRP related or mediated transport that is measurable can be discerned. This can occur in mice for example as a test model for in vivo testing of the results of inactivated BCRP transport and also to test whether drug transport of the pharmaceutically active compound does or does not occur. The results in wild type animals i.e. corresponding to the knock out animal with the exception of the inactivated BCRP transport system can be compared with the results in the knockout animal to ascertain whether a BCRP related or mediated compound has been tested. Further details can be obtained by carrying out the test with other animals in which one or more MDR related transport systems have been eliminated. It is also possible to use systems in which one or more of the other MDR transport systems are solely active in order to ascertain information on specificity for the various transport systems. The person skilled in the art will recognise which systems from the prior art are suitable. Also on the basis of the data provided in the prior art a knockout system e.g. using genetic modification can be achieved. The gene information for murine BCRP e.g. is available and thus the route to a knockout BCRP mouse is derivable. Use of such a knockout mouse in screening is a particularly useful embodiment of the invention. To circumvent possible lethality problems the creloxp system can for example be used. This allows tissue specific or inducible inactivation of the target gene. The resulting mice can be used in analysis of pharmacological effects in comparison to wild type mice. The knockout animals can be used as test models for assessing feasibility, efficacy and safety of BCRP related or mediated transport inhibition.

Claims

- 5 1. Method for increasing bioavailability of an orally administered pharmaceutical compound by administering said compound together with a bioenhancer comprising an inhibitor of BCRP mediated and/or related drug transport.
- 10 2. Method according to claim 1, characterised in that the bioavailability is enhanced by at least 10%, preferably by at least 20% and more preferably by at least 30%.
- 15 3. Method according to claim 1 or 2, characterised in that the bioenhancer is a selective inhibitor of BCRP mediated and/or related drug transport.
- 20 4. Method according to any of claims 1-3, characterised in that the bioenhancer is a acridine derivative, a quinoline derivative in particular an isoquinoline derivative or combinations thereof.
- 25 5. Method according to any of claims 1-4, characterised in that the bioenhancer is GG918.
- 30 6. Method according to any of claims 1-4, characterised in that the bioenhancer is cyclosporin A.
- 35 7. Method according to any of claims 1-4, characterised in that the bioenhancer is a mycotoxin, such as fumitremorgin C.
- 40 8. Method according to any of the preceding claims, characterised in that the bioenhancer inhibits BCRP mediated and/or related transport better than other multi-drug resistance related protein mediated and/or related transport.
- 45 9. Method according to any of the preceding claims, characterised in that the bioenhancer inhibits BCRP mediated and/or related transport better than P-gp mediated and/or related transport.

10. Method according to any of the preceding claims, characterised in that the bioenhancer inhibits BCRP mediated and/or related transport better than MRP mediated and/or related transport.
- 5 11. Method according to any of the preceding claims, characterised in that the bioenhancer inhibits BCRP mediated and/or related transport without affecting other multi-drug resistance related protein mediated and/or related transport.
- 10 12. Method according to any of claims 1-3 or 6-11, characterised in that the bioenhancer inhibits BCRP mediated and/or related transport without affecting P-gp mediated and/or related transport.
- 15 13. Method according to to any of claims 1-3 or 6-11, characterised in that the bioenhancer inhibits BCRP mediated and/or related transport without affecting MRP mediated and/or related transport.
- 20 14. Method according to to any of claims 1-3 or 6-13, characterised in that the bioenhancer inhibits only BCRP mediated and/or related transport.
- 25 15. Method according to any of the preceding claims, characterised in that the bioenhancer interacts with BCRP.
- 30 16. Method according to any of the preceding claims, characterised in that the bioenhancer prevents binding of ATP to BCRP.
- 25 17. Method according to any of the preceding claims, characterised in that the pharmaceutically active compound is subject to BCRP mediated and/or related transport.
- 30 18. Method according to any of the preceding claims, characterised in that the pharmaceutically active compound is an indolizino-quinoline derivative.
19. Method according to claim 18, characterised in that the pharmaceutically active compound is a camptothecin derivative.

20. Method according to claim 19 characterised in that the pharmaceutically active compound is topotecan, GG211, 9-aminocamptothecin, 9-nitrocamptothecin or irinotecan or any metabolites thereof.
- 5 21. Method according to claim 1-17, characterised in that the pharmaceutically active compound is an anthraquinone derivative.
22. Method according to claim 21, characterised in that the pharmaceutically active compound is mitoxantrone.
- 10 23. Method according to claim 1-17, characterised in that the pharmaceutically active compound is a quinazoline derivative.
- 15 24. Method according to claim 23, characterised in that the pharmaceutically active compound is prazosin.
- 20 25. Pharmaceutical composition comprising a bioenhancer and a pharmaceutically active compound said bioenhancer and pharmaceutically active compound being selected from any of the preceding claims, said pharmaceutical composition exhibiting improved bioavailability in comparison to a corresponding pharmaceutical composition in which the bioenhancer is absent.
- 25 26. Use of a pharmaceutically active compound in combination with a bioenhancer as defined in any of the preceding claims as active ingredients in the preparation of a pharmaceutical composition for oral delivery of the pharmaceutically active compound, said pharmaceutical composition exhibiting improved bioavailability in comparison to a corresponding pharmaceutical composition in which the bioenhancer is absent.
- 30 27. Monoclonal antibody specific for a BCRP, preferably a human BCRP.
28. Animal having inactive BCRP and/or being free of BCRP e.g. said animal being a genetically engineered BCRP knockout animal.

29. A method of screening for a compound useful for increasing bioavailability of an orally administered drug in a mammal, said drug being transported via the BCRP related or mediated drug transport system by assaying a candidate compound for inhibition of transport by BCRP and selecting a compound exhibiting such inhibition, in particular exhibiting such
5 inhibition in the gut or gastrointestinal tract.
30. Use of a compound selected according to claim 29 as bioenhancer in a pharmaceutical composition according to claim 25.
- 10 31 Use of a monoclonal antibody according to claim 27 as bioenhancer in a pharmaceutical composition according to claim 25.
32. Use of an animal according to claim 28 for screening for a compound useful for increasing bioavailability of an orally administered drug in a mammal, said drug being
15 transported via the BCRP related or mediated drug transport system by assaying a candidate compound for inhibition of transport by BCRP and selecting a compound exhibiting such inhibition, in particular exhibiting such inhibition in the gut or gastrointestinal tract.